	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT ST. LOUIS COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI ASSOCIATE DIVISION	8. 2012 JAN -5	
MARCY M. FULLER)	CIRCUL	STERK S
Plaintiff,)		
٧.) CASE NO)	- 	
CENTRAL FINANCIAL CONTRO	L)		
Defendant.)		
Serve at: 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1400 Dallas, TX 75202)))) JURY TI	RIAL DEMANDEI	Ď

PETITION

COMES NOW, Plaintiff, Marcy M. Fuller, and for her Petition states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action for statutory damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, 15 USC 1692 et. Seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive, and unfair practices.
- 2. This is an action for statutory damages brought by an individual consumer for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991("TCPA"), 47 USC 227 et. seg.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction of the FDCPA claim under 15 USC 1692k (d). Venue is appropriate in this Court because Defendant aimed its collection activity and committed the violations of the FDCPA described herein at and in St. Louis County, Missouri.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction of the TCPA claim under 47 USC 227 (3)(b). Venue is appropriate in this Court because Defendant placed prohibited telephone calls to Plaintiff at Plaintiff's phone located in St. Louis County, Missouri.



PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person currently residing in St. Louis County, Missouri.

 Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of the FDCPA and TCPA. The alleged debt Plaintiff owes arises out of consumer, family, and household transactions.
- 6. Defendant is a Missouri corporation with its principal place of business in Hazelwood, Missouri. The principal business purpose of Defendant is the collection of debts, and Defendant regularly attempts to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 7. Defendant is engaged in the collection of debts from consumers using the mail and telephone. Defendant is a "debt collector" as defined by the FDCPA. 15 USC 1692a (6).

FACTS

- 8. Defendant's collection activity began within the past twelve (12) months.
- Defendant's collection activity consisted of numerous telephone calls to Plaintiff's
 cellular telephone and at least one collection letter.
- 10. Plaintiff demanded in writing and by e-mail that Defendant cease calling her on her cellular telephone.
- 11. Plaintiff made a similar demand to the original creditor before Defendant began its collection activity.
 - 12. Defendant has not ceased calling Plaintiff's cellular telephone.
- 13. Defendant has called and continues to call Plaintiff's cellular telephone daily, and occasionally up to three times per day.
- 14. Defendant's phone calls for Plaintiff were placed from Defendant's automatic telephone dialing system, as defined by 47 USC 227(a)(1), from the phone number that is registered to the Defendant.
- 15. Those phone calls were made to Plaintiff's cellular phone and she was charged for those phone calls.

- 16. Plaintiff never entered into any agreement whereby she provided express consent for Defendant to place calls to her cellular phone with Defendant's automatic telephone dialing system.
- 17. Plaintiff never entered into any agreement whereby she consented to arbitrate disputes between herself and Defendant.
- 18. Defendant's collection attempts have caused Plaintiff to incur actual damages including but not limited to cellular phone charges, anxlety, sleeplessness, and worry.

COUNT 1: VIOLATION OF THE FDCPA

- 19. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs.
- 20. In its attempts to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff, Defendant has committed violations of the FDCPA, 15 USC 1692 et. seq., including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Causing a telephone to ring repeatedly with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number. 15 USC 1692d, e, f;

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

- Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Release of the alleged debt;
- D. Statutory damages, costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 USC
 1692(k); and
- E. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE TCPA

- 21. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 to 18.
- 22. In its attempts to collect the alleged debt from Plaintiff, Defendant has committed violations of the TCPA, 47 USC 227 et. seq., including, but not limited to, the following:

a. By placing non-emergency phone calls to Plaintiff's cellular phone without express authorized consent of the Plaintiff. 47 USC 227(b) (1) (A) (iii).

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that judgment be entered against Defendant for:

- A. Declaratory judgment that Defendant's conduct violated the TCPA;
- B. Actual damages;
- C. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 USC (b)(3); and
- D. For such other relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

EASON & VOYTAS, LLC

s/ James W. Eason /

JAMES W. EASON, #57112 RICK A. VOYTAS, #52046 EASON & VOYTAS, LLC 1 North Taylor Ave. St. Louis, Missouri 63108

Phone: (314) 932-1066 Fax: (314) 667-3161 Case: 4:12-cv-00360-TIA Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/28/12 Page: 5 of 7 PageID #: 7

Summons F	es, if applicable
Summons	S
Non Est	S
Mileage	S (miles @ S . per mile)
Total	\$
 	See the following page for directions to cierk and to officer making return on service of summons.

Case: 4:12-cv-00360-TIA Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/28/12 Page: 6 of 7 PageID #: 8



TO:

Service of Process Transmittal

CT Log Number 519885367

01/30/2012

Debbie Fowler

Tenet Healthcare Corporation

1445 Ross Ave, Fountain Place, Suite 1400

Dallas, TX 75202-2703

Process Served in Missouri RE:

CENTRAL FINANCIAL CONTROL (Assumed Name) (Domestic State: CA) FOR:

SYNDICATED OFFICE SYSTEMS (True Name)

ENCLOSED ARE COPIES OF LEGAL PROCESS RECEIVED BY THE STATUTORY AGENT OF THE ABOVE COMPANY AS FOLLOWS:

TITLE OF ACTION: Marcy M. Fuller, Pltf. vs. Central Financial Control, Dft.

DOCUMENT(S) SERVED: Summons, Petition(s)

COURT/AGENCY:

St. Louis County Circuit Court, MO Case # 12SLAC00528

NATURE OF ACTION: Violation of the FDCPA - Violation of the TCPA

ON WHOM PROCESS WAS SERVED: C T Corporation System, Clayton, MO

DATE AND HOUR OF SERVICE: By Process Server on 01/30/2012 at 10:08

JURISDICTION SERVED: Missouri

APPEARANCE OR ANSWER DUE: 02/28/2012 at 9:00 a.m.

ATTORNEY(S) / SENDER(S): James Windsor Eason

Eason & Voytas, LLC One North Taylor Ave. St. Louis, MO 63108 314-932-1066

ACTION ITEMS: CT has retained the current log, Retain Date: 01/30/2012, Expected Purge Date: 02/04/2012

Image SOP

Email Notification, Debbie Fowler debbie.fowler@tenethealth.com

Email Notification, Olga Barnes olga.barnes@tenethealth.com

SIGNED: CT Corporation System PER

Meghan Saffell ADDRESS: 120 South Central Avenue

Suite 400

Clayton, MO 63105 314-863-5545 TELEPHONE:



Page 1 of 1 / TG

information displayed on this transmittal is for CT Corporation's record keeping purposes only and is provided to the recipient for quick reference. This information does not constitute a legal opinion as to the nature of action, the amount of damages, the answer date, or any information contained in the documents themselves. Recipient is responsible for interpreting said documents and for taking appropriate action, Signatures on certified mail receipts confirm receipt of package only, not contents.

Case: 4:12-cv-00360-TIA Doc. #: 1-1 Filed: 02/28/12 Page: 7 of 7 PageID #: 9



IN THE 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

7177			
Judge or Division: BRENDA STITH LOFT:	īNī	Case Number: 12SL-AC00528	
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	III	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address or	
MARCY M FULLER		Pro Se's Address/Telephone Number:	
	vs.	JAMES WINDSOR EASON EASON & VOYTAS, LLC	
Defendant/Respondent:		ONE NORTH TAYLOR AVE.	
CENTRAL FINANCIAI	CONTROL	ST. LOUIS, MO 63108 (314) 932-1066	
		Date, Time and Location of Court Appearance:	
Vature of Suit: AC Other Tort		28-FEB-2012 09:00 AM DIVISION 33T	
		ST LOUIS COUNTY COURT BUILDING	
		7900 CARONDELET AVE	60 A MILE 812 113
2	ummans for Parson	CLAYTON, MO 63105 al Service Outside the State of Mis	(Date File Stamp)
, J	·	associate Division Cases)	220.01.t
he State of Missouri to:	CENTRAL FINANCIAL CO		
A	dias; 1445 ROSS	AVE	
	SUITE 140 DALLAS, '	0	
COURT SEAL OF		ppear before this Circuit Court, Associate Division on the	e date, time and location above, t
	answer the allegation in the	petition filed by the above-named Plaintiff/Petitioner, a	copy of which is attached. If you
		I place stated in this summons, judgment by default will you have a disability requiring special assistance for you	
	the court at least 48 hours in	advance of scheduled hearing.	
	01182012	Cic	Clotomas
ST. LOUIS COUNTY		O / Cit.	ik.
	Further Information: SSM		
I certify that:	Officer	's or Server's Affidavit of Service	
1 I am authorized to	serve process in civil actions wi	thin the state or territory where the above summons was	served.
 My official title is I have served the a 	bove summons by: (check one)	ofCounty, _	(state).
		by of the petition to the Defendant/Respondent.	
	py of the summons and a copy of	of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the person of the Defendant's/Respondent's family over the	
[(for service	on a corporation) delivering a co	opy of the summons and a copy of the petition to (name)	(title).
ather (descr	ibe)		*
Served Rt		(state), on(date	(address)
in	County,	(state), on (date	at(time).
Printed No	ame of Sheriff or Server	Signature of Sheriff	or Server
	Subscribed and Sworn to befo		
4			
	I am: (check one) 🔲 the clerk	of the court of which affiant is an officer.	
	I am: (check one)	e of the court of which affiant is an officer.	rved the above summons. these
(Seal)	I am: (check one)		rved the above summons. (use

Signature and Title